

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On Smoluchowski's coagulation equation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 839

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/21/3/040)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 06:36

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

COMMENT

On Smoluchowski's coagulation equation

Niels Jørgen Kokholm

Mathematics Department, Copenhagen University, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Received 8 July 1987

Abstract. We give a short proof of the global existence and uniqueness of solutions of Smoluchowski's coagulation equation with monodisperse initial conditions.

1. Introduction

Leyvraz and Tschudi (1981, hereafter referred to as LT) have considered the system of equations

$$\dot{c}_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} r_{k} r_{j-k} c_{k} c_{j-k} - r_{j} c_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k} c_{k}$$

$$c_{j}(0) = \delta_{j1} \qquad r_{k} = Ak + B \qquad (1.1)$$

(LT, p 3394). They find solutions global in time, first for $B \neq 0$ and then by a limiting process for B = 0. This is in contrast with McLeod (1964, hereafter referred to as ML2), where it is stated (for the case B = 0 and A = 1) that there is no reasonable solution for a wider range of t values than $0 \le t \le 1$. The reasons for this discrepancy are their different interpretations of the term 'solution of (1.1)'. McLeod demands $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 c_k$ to be uniformly absolutely convergent on the solution interval, Leyvraz and Tschudi merely that (1.1) makes sense pointwise—that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kc_k$ is convergent at each point of the interval.

In this comment I give a short proof of the global uniqueness (and existence) of solutions of (1.1) with B = 0 in the sense of (LT). The proof is based on a suggestion of T A Bak. It uses the methods of McLeod (1962, hereafter referred to as ML1), a more thorough investigation of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{k-1} z^k / k!$ and the fact that continuity of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kc_k$ follows from the equation and continuous induction.

2. The theorem

We consider the coagulation equation with monodisperse initial data:

$$\dot{c}_{k} = -kc_{k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jc_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k-j)c_{j}c_{k-j} \qquad c_{k}(0) = \delta_{1k}.$$
(2.1)

We want to solve (2.1) for all t. Let

$$\phi^{0}(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{when } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 1 + \log t & \text{when } 1 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

$$x_{k}^{0}(t) = k^{k-2} t^{k-1} / k! & \text{when } 0 \le t < \infty & k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2)$$

0305-4470/88/030839+04\$02.50 © 1988 IOP Publishing Ltd

839

N J Kokholm

thus $x_k^0(t)$ is the unique solution for all times of the system

$$\dot{x}_{k}^{0}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k-j) x_{j}^{0}(t) x_{k-j}^{0}(t) \qquad \qquad x_{k}^{0} = \delta_{1k}.$$
(2.3)

Before considering (2.1) we prove a technical lemma, which essentially is a precise statement of some facts noted in (ML1, pp 122-3).

Lemma 2.1. The power series

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{k-1} z^k / k!$$

is uniformly convergent in the disc $\{z | |z| \le e^{-1}\}$ and divergent outside. The sum is continuous in the disc, C^{∞} in the interior and satisfies

$$\psi(z) = z e^{\psi(z)}$$
 and $|\psi(z)| \le 1$ when $|z| \le e^{-1}$
 $\psi(w e^{-w}) = w$ when $|w| \le 1$.

Moreover, ψ is increasing on $[0, e^{-1}]$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(e^{-1}) = 1$.

Proof. By Stirling's formula, $k^{k-1}z^k/k! \sim k^{-3/2}(z e)^k$ as $k \to \infty$, which implies the assertions on the convergence, and hence the assertions on continuity and differentiability of ψ . To prove (2.1), note that when |w| = 1 and $|z| < e^{-1}$ then $|z e^w| = |z| e^{\vartheta t w} < 1$; thus by Rouché's theorem, the equation

$$w - z e^{w} = 0$$
 (i.e. $z = w e^{-w}$)

has exactly one solution w with |w| < 1 when $|z| < e^{-1}$, since this is true when z = 0. By the residue theorem, that solution is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} w \frac{(d/dw)(w-z e^w)}{w-z e^w} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} (1-z e^w) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z e^w}{w}\right)^k dw$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-1} z^k}{k!} = \psi(z).$$

Thus $\psi(z) = z e^{\psi(z)}$ and $|\psi(z)| \le 1$ when $|z| < e^{-1}$, hence by continuity for $|z| \le e^{-1}$. Since $|w e^{-w}| < e^{-1}$ when |w| < 1, $\psi(w e^{-w}) = w$ then, hence for $|w| \le 1$ by continuity. The last assertion follows immediately.

Theorem 2.2. (i) If we define c_k on $[0, \infty]$ by

$$c_k(t) = x_k^0(t) e^{-k\phi^0(t)}$$
 $k = 1, 2, ...$ (2.4)

then all c_k are continuously differentiable for $0 \le t < \infty$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kc_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 1/t & \text{when } 1 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

and (2.1) is satisfied for all t.

(ii) If $\theta > 0$ and c_k , k = 1, 2, ..., are continuously differentiable functions on $[0, \theta]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kc_k(t)$ is convergent for all $t \in [0, \theta]$ and (c_k) satisfies (2.1) on $[0, \theta]$; then (c_k) is given by (2.4) for $t \in [0, \theta]$.

840

Proof. Assume θ and (c_k) given as in (ii). Note that $c_1(0) = 1 > 0$ and let θ_1 be the largest positive number $\leq \theta$ such that $c_1(t) > 0$ when $0 \leq t < \theta_1$ (we shall prove that $\theta_1 = \theta$). By (2.1) for \dot{c}_1 , $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jc_j(t)$ is continuous on $[0, \theta_1]$, thus we may define

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^\infty jc_j(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \qquad \text{and} \qquad x_k(t) = c_k(t) \, \mathrm{e}^{k\phi(t)} \qquad \text{on } [0, \, \theta_1[.$$

Then ϕ is continuously differentiable, $\phi(0) = 0$, $\dot{\phi}(0) = 1$ and by (2.1), $x_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$ and

$$\dot{x}_{k}(t) = \left(\dot{c}_{k}(t) + kc_{k}(t)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jc_{j}(t)\right) e^{k\phi(t)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k-j)x_{j}(t)x_{k-j}(t).$$

It follows that $x_k(t) = x_k^0(t)$ on $[0, \theta_i]$, and thus

$$t\dot{\phi}(t) = t\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kc_k(t) = t\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx_k^0(t) e^{-k\phi(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{k-1} (t e^{-\phi(t)})^k / k!.$$
 (2.5)

By assumption this series is convergent, so the lemma implies

$$0 \le t e^{-\phi(t)} \le e^{-1}$$
 and $t\dot{\phi}(t) = \psi(t e^{-\phi(t)})$ on $[0, \theta_1[.$ (2.6)

We must prove that $\phi(t) = \phi^0(t)$ on $[0, \theta_1[$. To do that, let θ_2 be the largest positive number $\leq \theta_1$ such that $t e^{-\phi(t)} < e^{-1}$ when $0 \leq t < \theta_2$. By (2.6) and the lemma, ϕ is C^{∞} on $]0, \theta_2[$, $t\dot{\phi}(t) < 1$ there and we have

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \psi(t e^{-\phi(t)}) = \frac{1}{t} t e^{-\phi(t)} \exp[\psi(t e^{-\phi(t)})] = e^{-\phi(t) + t\dot{\phi}(t)}$$

when $0 < t < \theta_2$. By differentiation, $\ddot{\phi}(t) = \dot{\phi}(t)t\ddot{\phi}(t)$. Hence $\ddot{\phi}(t) = 0$ when $0 < t < \theta_2$ and therefore $\phi(t) = t$ on $[0, \theta_2[$. Since $t e^{-t} < e^{-1}$ when t < 1, we get $\theta_2 = \min\{1, \theta_1\}$.

Now assume $\theta_1 > 1$; then by (2.6) and the lemma, $\phi(t) \ge 1 + \log t$ and $\dot{\phi}(t) = (1/t)\psi(t e^{-\phi(t)}) \le (1/t)$ on]0, θ_1 [, hence when $1 \le t < \theta_1$:

$$1 + \log t \le \phi(t) \le \phi(1) + \int_1^t \frac{1}{u} \, \mathrm{d}u \le 1 + \log t$$

and thus $\phi(t) = \phi^0(t)$ when $0 \le t < \theta_1$. To prove (ii) it remains to be proved that $\theta_1 = \theta$, but $c_1(t) = x_1^0(t) e^{-\phi^0(t)}$ when $0 \le t \le \theta_1$, and $x_1^0(\theta_1) e^{-\phi^0(\theta_1)} > 0$, so $\theta_1 = \theta$ by the definition of θ_1 .

To prove (i), note that with c_k defined by (2.4), the computation (2.5) and the lemma shows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jc_j(t) = (1/t)\psi(t e^{-\phi^0(t)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 1/t & \text{when } 1 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$
$$= \dot{\phi}^0(t).$$

Thus

$$\dot{c}_k(t) = -kc_k(t)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jc_j(t) + \dot{x}_k^0(t) e^{-k\phi^0(t)}.$$

Using (2.3), (2.1) follows and the theorem is proved.

Note that without any significant change in the proof, the condition in (ii) that the c_k be C^1 may be weakened to the c_k being absolutely continuous (and the series convergent almost everywhere).

References

Leyvraz F and Tschudi H R 1981 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14 3389-405 McLeod J B 1962 Q. J. Math. 13 445-58 ----- 1964 Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 14 445-58